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## 1 Introduction

This manual is designed to accompany a Matlab software package that estimates the parameters in differential equation models by a profiling method. For details on the profiling method and its application to dynamic systems identification, see Ramsay, Hooker, Cao and Campbell (2007). The profiling procedure used in this package is closely related to developments in functional data analysis described in Ramsay and Silverman (2002, 2005), and it is assumed that the user is already reasonably familiar with this material. Moreover, the package also makes heavy use of the Matlab software developed by these authors, and available at the website www.functionaldata.org. This software must be installed in order to use this package.

### 1.1 Defining the problem

Dynamic systems are designed to model how one or more outputs in an input/output system respond to a change in one or more inputs. Since change is directly reflected in the derivative of an output following the change in input, dynamic systems usually consist of a set of differential equations. If we use the notation $x_{i}(t)$ to refer to the $i$ th output function value at time $t, i=$ $1, \ldots, d$, then its first derivative is denoted in various ways in the dynamic systems literature, including $d x_{i} / d t, \dot{x}_{i}(t)$ and $D x_{i}(t)$. We shall use the "dot" notation. If we need to refer to the vector of length $d$ containing all of the output derivatives, we use the notation $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{i}(t)$.

The functions described in this software package are designed to provide estimates of a parameter vector $\theta$ defining an ordinary differential equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=f(t, x(t), \theta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1) can also represent a set of $d$ coupled differential equations, and in that case, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=f\left(t, x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{d}(t), \theta\right), i=1, \ldots, d \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more compactly in matrix notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{x}(t)}=\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}(t), \theta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand function $f$ defines the functional relationship of change, expressed as $\dot{x}(t)$, to:

- $x$ itself,
- time $t$ in ways other than through the value $x(t)$ and
- a vector of parameters $\theta$ whose values must be estimated in order to completely define the dynamic system.

It is frequently the case that the dependency on time $t$ other than via $x(t)$ is through one or more input functions $u_{i \ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, L_{i}$. In this case, these input functions are often referred to as forcing functions that are said to force the specific equation(s) affected by them, and (1), for example, can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=f(x(t), u(t), \theta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a specific example of a dynamic system, see (7) defining the FitzHughNagumo equations in the next section.

We assume that we have noisy data

$$
y_{i}\left(t_{i j}\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{i j}\right)+\epsilon_{i j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{i}
$$

and that we wish to use these data to estimate $\theta$. The measurement times $t_{i j}$ may not be the same for all of the variables $y_{i}$, and the standard deviations, $\sigma_{i}$, of the measurement errors $\epsilon_{i j}$ may also vary. This software has been produced to take these aspects of the data into account.

More generally, however, the derivatives need not necessarily be of the order 1. That is, the order $m$ of equation $i$, being the order of the highest derivative involved in the equation, may vary from equation to equation, and may also be 0 . In particular, a zero'th order derivative for some component corresponds to an Algebraic Equation. Right side function $f$ may also incorporate derivatives of $x(t)$, and delayed evaluation, $x(t-\delta)$. While the initial presentation of the software here will assume a set of first order ordinary differential equations, Section 9 will demonstrate the application of this software to equations of varying orders.

### 1.2 The profiling estimation procedure

The profiling estimation procedure used by this software has two stages of optimization, which we will label the inner and outer stages. Each of these stages is associated with its own unique fitting criterion.

### 1.2.1 The basis function expansion of $x_{i}$ :

We approximate each $x_{i}$ by a basis function expansion,

$$
\hat{x}_{i}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{K_{i}} c_{i k} \phi_{i k}(t)
$$

where $\phi_{i k}(t)$ is a specific basis function in the basis system used for variable $i$, and the coefficients $c_{i k}$ are estimated from the data so as to provide an optimal fit. Note that the nature and number of basis functions can vary from one variable to another. Ramsay and Silverman (2005) can be consulted for advice on how to choose a basis function system.

### 1.2.2 The inner optimization:

In the inner stage, the equation parameter vector $\theta$ is kept fixed, and it is the basis expansion coefficients $c_{i k}$ that are estimated. This in turn estimates a vector of smooth functions, $\hat{x}_{i}$. We can, if we wish, emphasize that the fit in the inner stage depends on the value of parameter vector $\theta$ by using the notation $\hat{x}_{i}(t \mid \theta)$.

The data are fit by minimizing the penalized sum of squares

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, \theta, \lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left\|y_{i}-x_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{i} \int\left(\dot{x}_{i}(t)-f_{i}(t, x(t), \theta)\right)^{2} d t\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ are intended to indicate the vectors of measured values and measuring times for the $i$ th variable, respectively. The norm notation $\|\cdot\|^{2}$ is used here to represent a sum of squared error measure of fit of the estimated variable values to their respective data. Varying weights for each squared error are permitted.

The second term in this equation specific to variable $i$ measures the fidelity of $\hat{x}_{i}$ to the differential equation specific to that variable. The fit measure in this second term is also a least squares measure, but the summation over discrete values $t_{i}$ of $t$ in the first term has been replaced an an integration over the continuum of $t$ values. We approximate the integral in (5) by a numerical quadrature method whose accuracy can be controlled by the user of the software package.

A smoothing parameter $\lambda_{i}$ trades-off fidelity to the equations and to the data for variable $i$. When $\lambda_{i}$ is near zero, the second term in (5) has little impact on the fit, and we are consequently smoothing the data with little regard for whether the smooth satisfies the differential equation for that variable. However, when $\lambda_{i}$ is large, the second term tends to dominate the criterion (5), and consequently $\hat{x}_{i}$ is forced to closely satisfy the differential equation, with the fit to the data being as good as possible given this constraint. If we use the notation $x_{t \mid \theta}$ as an estimate of the true trajectory, or path, that the system took, then we see that the composite fitting criterion (5) allows for some discrepancy between an exact solution to the differential equation1 and the estimated fit
$\hat{x}_{i}$. In this way we can accommodate modeling situations in which the equation is known to only provide an approximate model of the actual dynamic system giving rise to the data.

Because we use a quadrature rule to approximate the integrals in (5), each second term is also essentially an error sum of squares. Consequently, the total fit measure (5) can now be re-expressed as a non-linear least-squares problem, and the Gauss-Newton algorithm is employed in our software to actually carry out the inner optimization.

### 1.2.3 The outer optimization:

The parameters in vector $\theta$ are varied in the outer optimization, and are required to minimize the outer criterion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\theta, \lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|y_{i}-x_{i}\left(t_{i} \mid \theta\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which measures only the squared distance between the data and the smooth. We use the notation $x_{i}\left(t_{i} \mid \theta\right)$ to stress that the fit to variable $i$ in this stage is actually a function of $\theta$, since it is re-estimated each time $\theta$ is changed. This functional relationship is what is implied by the term profiling.

Note that the outer criterion lacks the fidelity-to-equation terms in (5). These are no longer needed, since the functional relationship that profiling implies ensures that the fit will always be smoothed at a level defined by the value of $\lambda_{i}$. There is no need to penalize the roughness of $\hat{x_{i}}$ twice.

We can also solve (6) via another Gauss-Newton procedure, making use of the derivative

$$
\frac{d J}{d \theta}=\frac{d J}{d c} \frac{d c}{d \theta}
$$

where

$$
\frac{d c}{d \theta}=-\left[\frac{d^{2} G}{d c^{2}}\right]^{-1} \frac{d^{2} G}{d c d \theta}
$$

by the Implicit Function Theorem.
The rest of this document describes how to get this software to do this by making use of the Functional Data Analysis (FDA) software package for MATLAB.

## 2 Example: FitzHugh-Nagumo Equations

Throughout this document, we will use the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations as an example. These relatively simple equations are widely used in modeling neuro-physiological processes, and a discussion of the historical development of these equations as well as many applications can be found in Beuter, Glass,

Mackey and Titcombe (2003). The equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V} & =c\left(V-\frac{V^{3}}{3}+R\right)  \tag{7}\\
\dot{R} & =-\frac{1}{c}(V-a+b R) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with the $\theta=\{a, b, c\}$ being the unknown parameter vector, variable $V$ being the voltage across the cell boundary and $R$ representing a set of recovery processes. If we use the notation in (1), these equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=c\left(x_{1}-\frac{x_{1}^{3}}{3}+x_{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-\frac{1}{c}\left(x_{1}-a+b x_{2}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and we could also use an algebraic equation to write them as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}_{1}=c\left(x_{1}-\frac{x_{3}}{3}+x_{2}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\dot{x}_{2}=-\frac{1}{c}\left(x_{1}-a+b x_{2}\right)  \tag{12}\\
x_{3} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

A plot of solutions to these equations is given below for parameter values $\{0.2,0.2,3\}$ and initial conditions $\left[x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0)\right]=[-1,1]$.



## 3 MATLAB Objects Needed for the Estimation.

### 3.1 Cell Arrays

The software works uses Matlab cell arrays to contain information about each variable, since the nature an amount of information can vary from variable to variable. That is, the contents of each cell in a cell array corresponds to one variable $x_{i}$ of the system. When the system is observed only once, these arrays take the form of a row vector; one element of the row representing one variable of the system. For example, the two equations in the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations
above appear in the first and second cells in a cell array defined by a Matlab command such as FH cell $=\operatorname{cell}(1,2)$, respectively.

When a system has been observed a number of times, each replication is represented by the corresponding row of a two-dimensional array. The description of the system used here will assume data without replications. Section 7 will introduce the modifications necessary to estimate equations with replicated data.

When there are individual numbers which correspond to variables of a system - for example, the smoothing parameters, $\lambda$ or the variable weights $w_{i}$ - these may be represented by a regular numeric array rather than a cell-array.

The use of cell arrays is detailed in standard MATLAB manuals, but because of the heavy reliance of this code on them, a quick review of the basics is given below. Cell arrays behave like standard arrays, except that each component may contain an arbitrary MATLAB object. In our case, they will be used to store estimated paths and bases.

Cell arrays are indexed in the same manner as standard arrays. The crucial distinction is that assigning content to arrays makes use of curly braces. Enclosing a vector of objects in curly braces denotes a cell array containing those objects, thus

```
A = cell (1,2);
```

which creates a 1 by 2 array of empty cells, is equivalent to

$$
A=\{[],[]\} .
$$

Similarly, calling content from a cell array requires curly braces so that

$$
\mathrm{A}(1,1)
$$

returns a 1 by 1 cell array containing an empty object, whereas

$$
A\{1,1\}
$$

returns the empty object with A defined above. Note that

$$
A(1: 2)
$$

is legitimate notation in MATLAB, but

$$
A\{1: 2\}
$$

is not. A final shortcut that we make use of is to allow the entries in a cell array to be replicated, so that to insert 0 as the content of both entries of $A$ we can either set

$$
A(1: 2)=\{0,0\}
$$

or

$$
A(1: 2)=\{0\}
$$

Note that

$$
A\{1: 2\}=0
$$

will produce an error.

### 3.2 Data Objects

The raw data supplied to the estimation scheme is stored in two cell arrays:
Tcell: the times at which the variable of the system is measured.
Ycell: the values measured at the times in Tcell.
As indicated above, the columns of these cell arrays correspond to variables and the rows to replications, and each cell contains a vector of values. Of course, the number of times values in a specific cell in Tcell must equal the number of variable values in the corresponding cell in Ycell, but may vary from one variable to another.
We will use simulated data to demonstrate the system in action. To do this, we require data in an object path_cell, which we set up as follows:

```
Tcell = {0:0.05:20, 0:0.05:20};
[time,path] = ode45(@fhnfunode,0:0.05:20,[-1,1],[],[0.2 0.2 3]);
path_cell = {path(:,1), path(:,2)}
```

which produces the paths plotted above. The function fhnfunode calculates the right-hand side of the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations for a given vector of inputs and ode45 is a Runge-Kutta solver for differential equations in MATLAB. From this, we can create data by adding noise to the FitzHugh-Nagumo path:

```
Ycell = path_cell;
for(i = 1:length(path_cell))
    Ycell{i} = path(:,i) + 0.5*randn(size(path,1),1);
end
```

Note that some elements of Tcell and Ycell may be left as empty cells. These represent unmeasured variables of the system. Tcell may also be given as a simple vector, in which case all variables of the system are assumed to be measured at the same times.

### 3.3 Basis Objects

We represent the smooth $x_{j}$ for each variable of $x$ by a functional data object stored in a cell array. Each variable may be represented by a different basis system. However, it is expected that each basis will cover the same range and will use the same quadrature points. For a cell-array of basis objects, basis_cell, the function

```
checkbasis(basis_cell)
```

will verify that all the bases have the same range. This should not be necessary if basis_cell is set up as follows.

For ease of use, a function MakeQuadPoints is available:

```
quadvals = MakeQuadPoints(knots,nquad)
```

where knots is the set of all knots used in B-spline bases across all variables of the system and nquad is the number of quadrature points to place between knots. This sets up equally spaced quadrature points on these knots and associates Simpson's rule quadrature values with them.

A B-spline basis using these quadrature points can be set up via the function

```
basis_obj = MakeBasis(range,nbasis,norder,knots,quadvals,nderiv);
```

with the following inputs
range: the range of the basis
nbasis: the number of basis functions to use
norder: the order of the basis functions
knots the knots to use
quadvals: as above, quadrature points and values
nderiv: the number of derivatives at which a functional data object is expected to be evaluated. This should be the same as the maximum number of derivatives appearing in (1).

We have found that good smooths can require very large numbers of basis functions. However, the order of the B-spline does not seem to affect the quality of the smooth and the minimum value for nquad, 5 , appears to be sufficient. Usually, only the functional data objects and their first derivatives will need to be evaluated.

The following code sets up basis functions for each of the variables in the FitzHugh-Nagumo equation example:

```
knots = 0:0.5:20;
quadvals = MakeQuadPoints(knots,5);
norder = 3;
nbasis = length(knots) + norder - 2;
basis_obj = MakeBasis([0 20],nbasis,norder,knots,quadvals,1);
basis_cell = {basis_obj, basis_obj};
```


### 3.4 Functional Data Objects

In order to perform the non-linear least squares estimation for the coefficient vectors of these basis functions, initial values need to be provided. They can be set to zero, but it may be advantageous to estimated these by a smooth using a first-derivative penalty. The function smoothfd_cell provides a wrapper to smoothfd to loop over the values in a cell-array of objects:

```
lambda0 = 0.1;
Lfd_cell = cell(size(basis_cell));
for(i = 1:length(basis_cell))
    fdPar_cell{i} = fdPar(basis_cell{i},1,lambda0);
end
DEfd = smoothfd_cell(Ycell,Tcell,fdPar_cell);
```

When some elements of Tcell are empty, the coefficients of that variable are estimated as zero. This does not always provide great results and some other initial conditions may be helpful. This might include simply using a nonzero constant. Other possibilities are discussed in Section 5.1.

DEfd is now the cell array of functional data objects that we want. For the purposes of smoothing, we need the coefficients of these objects. In this case
coefs = getcellcoefs(DEfd);
provides these as a single vector concatenated from all the coefficients vectors. This can then be used as an argument to lsqnonlin as detailed in Section 5.

### 3.5 Weights and Smoothing Parameters

Two further objects are needed:
lambda defines the smoothing parameter to use in (5). It may be a vector, defining one parameter for each variable of the system. If a singleton, it is assumed to be the same for every variable.
wts defines a weight for each observation. It may be empty (each observation gets the same weight), a vector (giving a different weight to each variable of the system, but the same weight within a variable) or a cell array (defining a different weight for each observation).

The variable weights in vector wts should be inversely proportional to the size of measurement noise in each variable. Alternatively, we might weight by the simple variance in each variable.

The smoothing or bandwidth parameter values in vector lambda control the extent to which each estimated variable satisfies it's corresponding differential equation. If $\lambda_{i}$ is relatively close to zero, the estimated variable $\hat{x}_{i}$ will only
be lightly constrained by the differential equation, and will primarily smooth the data. For difficult problems having complex fitting surface topology when the equations are closely satisfied (as is the case for the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations) small values in lambda are advisable in the initial stages of parameter estimation, followed by increasing them incrementally until the desired fidelty to the equation has been attained.

The setting up of wts and lambda is illustrated in the following code:

```
lambda = 1000*ones(size(DEfd));
wts = zeros(size(DEfd));
for(i = 1:length(DEfd))
    wts(i) = 1/sqrt(var(Ycelli));
end
```


## 4 Defining the Differential Equation

### 4.1 Derivatives on the Left Hand Side

The left hand side requires a vector alg to be specified giving the order of derivative to be used in each variable of the system. This is a vector of nonnegative integers of the same length as the system, specifying the order of each differential equation.

Usually, as in the case of the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations, this is simply

```
alg = [1 1];
```

but algebraic equations may be specified by setting the corresponding variables of alg to zero. Higher-order equations may be specified by correspondingly higher entries in alg. An example of using algebraic and higher order terms is given in Section 9.

If alg is left empty, it is assumed to be a vector of ones.

### 4.2 Functions for the Right Hand Side

The estimation procedure requires the user to write functions to compute $f(t, x, \theta)$ and several of its derivatives. All these functions take the one of the two following two forms
fn(t, DEfd, pars)
or
fn(t,DEfd, pars,moreinfo)
where $t$ is a vector of times at which to evaluate the function and pars is the vector of parameter estimates. The fourth argument moreinfo in the second form may be required to contain any extra input into the function, such as information on forcing functions. The form of this input is left up to the user, but would typically be a struct object with fields containing additional required quantities.

The output of each required function should be a cell-array of values. The number of dimensions of the cell array will depend on whether the function values only are computed, the partial derivatives with respect to $x$, and/ or the partial derivatives with respect to $\theta$. The total number of dimensions is $\#$ (no. derivatives) +1 . The first dimension is determined by the function values, the second by the $x$-derivatives if computed, then followed by the $\theta$-derivatives if computed. That is, the variables of $F$ are in the first dimension with the derivatives in the following dimensions. Derivatives with respect to variables of $x$ will always be taken before derivatives with respect to variables of $\theta$. The elements of these cell arrays will be time series corresponding to the evaluation of the relevant variable and derivative at the smooth DEfd evaluated at times t. See below for illustrations of this output organization.

To aid in writing these functions, a wrapper function eval_fdcell is provided

```
fvals = eval_fdcell(Tcell,DEfd,deriv)
```

where Tcell is either a cell-array or a vector (implicitly made into a cell-array all elements containing the vector) of time points at which to evaluate DEfd and deriv is the order of derivative to take and may be a vector so that

```
eval_fdcell(Tcell,DEfd,0)
```

provides the values of DEfd at the observation times and

```
eval_fdcell(0:20,DEfd,1)
```

provides the first derivatives of DEfd at unit time intervals. The output from these are, of course, cell arrays.

The organization of the output of the functions is illustrated in the following examples for the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations.

Thus, the function defining the right hand side of the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations will be given by a MATLAB file containing ( p is substituted for $\theta$ throughout the code):

```
function r = fhnfun(t,DEfd,p)
x = eval_fdcell(t,fd_cell,0);
r = x;
r{1} = p(3)*(x{1} - x{1}.^3/3 + x{2});
r{2} = -(x{1} -p(1) + p(2)*x{2})/p(3);
```

end
the derivative of $f$ with respect to the parameters is

```
function r = fhndfdp(t,DEfd,p)
x = eval_fdcell(t,fd_cell,0);
r = cell(2,3);
r(1:2,1:3) = {0};
r{1,3} = (x{1}-x{1}.^3/3+x{2});
r{2,1} = 1/p(3);
r{2,2} = (-x{2}/p(3));
r {2,3} = ((x{1}-p(1)+p(2)*x{2})/(p(3).^2));
end
```

and the second derivative with respect to $x$ and $\theta$ is

```
function r = fhnd3fdxdp(t,DEfd,p)
r = cell(2,2,3);
r(1:2,1:2,1:3) = {0};
r{1,1,3} = 1 - eval_fd(t,fd_cell1).^2;
r{1,2,3} = 1;
r {2,1,3} = 1/p(3)^2;
r{2,2,2} = - 1/p(3);
r {2, 2,3} = p(2)/p(3)^2;
end
```

Where a derivative is constant, a simple number can be returned in the corresponding cell and this will save some computation.

In order to perform the profiled estimation scheme, a total of five functions are required:

$$
f, \frac{d f}{d x}, \frac{d f}{d \theta}, \frac{d^{2} f}{d x^{2}}, \frac{d^{2} f}{d x d \theta}
$$

If variance estimates are required for the parameters, a further four functions are needed:

$$
\frac{d^{2} f}{d \theta^{2}}, \frac{d 3 f}{d x^{3}}, \frac{d 3 f}{d x^{2} d \theta}, \frac{d 3 f}{d x d \theta^{2}}
$$

Note that although the examples above are given for an ODE, these functions may also incorporate evaluating derivatives of DEfd and evaluating variables of DEfd at lagged intervals.

The estimation code expects these functions to be given in a struct whose elements are function handles with fields specified in the following manner:

```
fn.fn = @fhnfun; % RHS function
fn.dfdx = @fhndfdx; % Derivative wrt inputs (Jacobian)
fn.dfdp = @fhndfdp; % Dervative wrt parameters
fn.d2fdx2 = @fhnd2fdx2; % Hessian wrt inputs
fn.d2fdxdp = @fhnd2fdxdp; % Hessian wrt inputs and parameters
fn.d2fdp2 = @fhnd2fdp2; % Hessian wrt parameters.
fn.d3fdx3 = @fhnd3fdx3; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs.
fn.d3fdx2dp = @fhnd3fdx2dp; % 3rd derivative wrt intputs and pars.
fn.d3fdxdp2 = @fhnd3fdxdp2; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs and pars.
% dimensions = time, variable, input,
% parameters
```

and the struct fn can now be used as an input into any of the estimating functions.

## 5 Calling Estimation Functions

The software carries out two tasks. The inner optimization of $G(x, \theta, \lambda)$ defined in (5), equivalent to conducting a model-based smooth, and an outer optimization $J(\theta, \lambda)$, or choosing the parameters that optimize the smooth.

### 5.1 Model-Based Smoothing

The set of coefficients minimizing (5) can be obtained by a call to the MATLAB routine lsqnonlin to optimize

```
SplineCoefErr(coefs,basis_cell,Ycell,Tcell,wts,lambda,...
    fn,alg,pars,moreinfo)
```

Here SplineCoefErr calculates the value of $G(x, \theta, \lambda)$, along with its derivative with respect to the coefficients defining the smooth $x_{\theta}$.

Array coefs may be obtained as in $\S 3.3$ and arraypars contains the parameters $\theta$. Struct object fn_extras is an optional extra argument that contains any additional information required to compute the right hand side and its derivatives, and does not needed to be included in the function call if no additional information is required. All other inputs are as given in the above sections.

In the case of the FitzHugh-Nagumo example, we would call lsqnonlin as follows:

```
coefs = lsqnonlin(@SplineCoefErr,coefs,[],[],[],basis_cell,...
    Ycell,Tcell,wts,lambda,fn,alg,pars);
```

the cell array of functional data objects can then be recovered by

```
DEfd = Make_fdcell(coefs,basis_cell);
```

As an alternative, the function

```
DEfd = SplineEst(fn,Tcell,Ycell,pars,knots_cell,wts,...
    lambda,lambda0,rough_ord,alg,lsopts,DEfd,moreinfo);
```

provides a wrapper for the call to lsqnonlin. It defines a basis using the knots specified in knots_cell (again, one set of knots per variable of $x$, but this may be a vector which will then be replicated across all variables), and creates an initial smooth defined by lambda0 and the roughness penalty specified by the Lfd object rough_ord.
lsopts are the optimization options to be passed to lsqnonlin. If the functional data object cell array DEfd is not empty, this is passed directly to lsqnonlin without defining a new cell array of bases.

We could alternatively create DEfd this smooth by the call:

```
DEfd = SplineEst(fn,Tcell,Ycell,[0.2 0.2 3],...
    0:0.05:20,wts,1000,0.1,1,[],[],[],[]);
```

This routine may not always give good results when unmeasured variables are poorly specified, or when there is relatively little data. Section 8 details a function that will estimate unmeasured variables from the others, using the differential equation. Possibly the best solution is to smooth the data with the differential equation using a small value of $\lambda$ and using this as initial conditions with a larger $\lambda$. This scheme may need to be iterated a few times to achieve an appropriate amount of smoothing.

### 5.2 Profiled Estimation

The profiled estimation routine to estimate $\theta$ uses its own Gauss-Newton iteration scheme. This allows DEfd to be updated along with pars, providing some computational speedup. The routine is called by

```
[newpars,DEfd] = Profile_GausNewt(pars,lsopts,DEfd,fn,...
    lambda,Ycell,Tcell,wts,alg,lsopts2,moreinfo,...
    pen,dpen,pen_extras);
```

here lsopts and lsopts2 are optimization options to the outer and inner minimization routines respectively. They follow exactly the optimization toolbox options and may be set with the MATLAB optimset command. As in model based smoothing, fn_extras does not needed to be included in the function call if these two arguments are empty.

Finally, it is possible that we may wish to modify the outer criterion to

$$
\tilde{J}(\theta, \lambda)=J(\theta, \lambda)+P(\theta)
$$

in which $P(\theta)$ regularizes the estimated values of $\theta$. This will be the case if, for instance, $\theta$ is high dimensional. This might occur, for example, if they are taken to be coefficients of a basis expansion for a functional parameter. The entries pen, dpen and pen_extras define such penalties on the parameters. pen
and dpen should be functions accepting pars and pen_extras and outputting a vector giving the penalty (to be squared) and it's derivative respectively.

This separate Gauss-Newton optimization routine has been employed so that the object DEfd may be updated as the optimization progresses. Whenever we update $\theta$, the coefficients $c$ will also be updated, and their new values can be anticipated by using $d c / d \theta$.
For the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations, the call becomes

```
lsopts_out = optimset('DerivativeCheck','off','Jacobian','on',...
    'Display','iter','MaxIter',maxit0,'TolFun',1e-8,'TolX',1e-10);
lsopts_in = optimset('DerivativeCheck','off','Jacobian','on',...
    'Display','off', 'MaxIter',maxit1,'TolFun',1e-14, ...
    'TolX',1e-14,'JacobMult',@SparseJMfun);
[newpars,newDEfd] = Profile_GausNewt(pars,lsopts_out,DEfd,fn,...
    lambda,Ycell,Tcell,wts,alg, [], [], [],lsopts_in);
```

Note that an initial guess for pars is necessary to start the minimization.

## 6 Covariance Matrices of Parameter Estimates

A covariance matrix may be calculated for the parameter estimates via a $\delta$-method:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\theta) \approx \frac{d \theta}{d x}^{T} \operatorname{Var}(y) \frac{d \theta}{d x}
$$

where

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d x}=-\left[\frac{d^{2} J}{d \theta^{2}}\right]^{-1} \frac{d^{2} J}{d \theta d Y}
$$

These two matrices, along with $\operatorname{Var}(y)$, must be calculated individually. $d^{2} J / d \theta^{2}$ is calculated using the following function:

```
d2Jdp2 = make_d2jdp2(DEfd,fn,Tcell,lambda,pars,alg,wts,...
    Ycell,moreinfo,d2pen,pen_extras)
```

where d2pen is a function providing the second derivative of a penalty with respect to parameters. It takes the same arguments as pen and dpen in Profile_GausNewt.
$d^{2} J / d \theta d x$ is calculated by the following

```
d2Jdpdy = make_d2jdpdY(DEfd,fn,Tcell,lambda,pars,alg,wts,...
    Ycell,moreinfo)
```

and $\operatorname{Var}(y)$ is a diagonal matrix calculated in

```
S = make_sigma(DEfd,Tcell,Ycell,ind)
```

where ind indicates the method used to calculate the variance of the observational noise. A value of 0 indicates that all variables have an individual variance, 1 indicates pooling across replicates but within variables, 2 pooling across variables within replicates and 3 pooling across all variables. These should be chosen according to the system. It is most likely that 0 or 1 will be appropriate - this will be especially true when different variables are measured in different units. However, when different variables share the same scales and measurement accuracy, using options 2 or 3 will stabilize the variance estimate.

A covariance matrix for the parameter estimates for the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations can now be calculated by

```
d2Fdp2 = make_d2jdp2(newDEfd_cell,fn,Ycell,Tcell,lambda,...
    newpars,alg,wts)
d2FdpdY = make_d2jdpdy(DEfd,fn,Ycell,Tcell,lambda,newpars,...
    alg,wts);
dpdY = -d2Fdp2\d2FdpdY;
S = make_sigma(DEfd,Tcell,Ycell,0);
Cov = dpdY * S * dpdY'
```


## 7 Parameter Estimation with Replication

In some cases, more than one time series corresponding to a system of differential equations may be observed. Moreover, it is possible that only some of the parameters will be common to different replications of the system.

Where replicates of the system are measured, the cell arrays, Tcell, Ycell, and DEfd now become cell matrices with rows representing replications and variables given in columns. The bases for different replications do not need to share the same range or quadrature points.

In order to estimate parameters for such systems, new functions need to be used for those in $\S 5$ and $\S 6$. These take the same arguments as their singlereplicate counterparts with the additional input of
parind a matrix whose rows give the indices of the entries of the parameter vector that correspond to parameters for each replicate.

The use of parind allows some parameters to be shared and others estimated separately. For instance, if, in the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations, parameters $a$ and $b$ were shared between two replicates, but $c$ was not, we would define the following

```
pars = [a b c1 c2];
parind = [1 2 3;
    1 2 4];
```

if parind is left empty, the code uses a default that all parameters are common to all replications.

The input parind follows pars in each of the functions, SplineCoefErr_rep, Profile_GausNewt_rep, make_d2jdp2_rep and make_d2jdpdy_rep. These may all be used with single-replicate systems as well. make_sigma already incorporates replications.

## 8 Estimating Starting Values from a Smooth

This section details two functions that will provide estimates for unmeasured variables of a system and initial parameter values respectively. We assume that all variables have been estimated by a smooth of the data using, say, a firstderivative penalty.

### 8.1 Unmeasured Variables

Suppose that we have derived DEfd from a call to smoothfd_cell which has set some unmeasured variables to be zero. If we desire a better initial estimate, we could treat the smooths for the measured variables of DEfd as fixed, and then try to find coefficients for the unmeasured variables that best fit the differential equation.

The following function can be optimized with lsqnonlin:

```
SplineCoefErr_DEfit(coefs,DEfd,ind,fn,pars,alg,moreinfo)
```

Here ind gives the indices of the unmeasured variables, coefs is a single vector giving initial estimates of the coefficients for the variables listed in ind. DEfd is the fit from the smooth, pars are guesses at the parameters and $f n, a l g$ and fn_extras are given by the same objects as throughout the rest of the software.

The call to lsqnonlin then looks like

```
coefs1 = lsqnonlin(@SplineCoefErr_DEfit,coefs,[],[],[],\ldots
    DEfd,ind,fn,pars,alg,moreinfo);
```

The smooth DEfd can then be updated with the call

```
DEfd = update_fdcell(coefs1,ind,DEfd);
```

which replaces the coefficients of the variables in ind with the estimated coefs1. Note here that we assume a knowledge of pars, usually as an initial guess. Such an estimate should then be used directly in profile estimation, rather than being re-estimated using the routine below.

### 8.2 Initial Estimates for $\theta$

An alternative methodology for estimating parameters in differential equations is to first produce a smooth of the data, treat this as fixed, and then choose the parameters that make that smooth look most like a solution. This has the advantage that we are only optimizing over the parameter values, rather than the coefficients, and does not require repeated numerical solutions to the differential equation. Unfortunately, when there is little or noisy data, the smooth produced can be a very poor representation of a differential equation trajectory, especially on the derivative scale. This can lead to highly biassed parameter estimates. Nonetheless, it may be useful to use this technique to obtain initial parameter values from which to start a profiled estimation.

We assume that a smooth DEfd to the data has been produced through a call to smoothfd_cell. In particular, all variables of DEfd need to have been measured. The function

```
SplineParsErr(pars,DEfd,fn,moreinfo)
```

can then be used as an argument to lsqnonlin to produce parameter estimates. This already requires some initial guess at pars, and it may be most useful to simply employ that in profiled estimation.

## 9 An Example of Generality

So far, the discussion of this software has been given in terms of first-order ordinary differential equations. Here, we give an example of a differential-algebraic equation with delays. Let us take a toy equation as an example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{x}(t)=a x(t)^{2}+b y(t) \\
& y(t)=\frac{1}{e^{c y(t)}+x(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This example is intended for expository purposes and is not intended to be realistic.

Here $p=\{a, b, c, d\}$ are considered unknown. This equation can be converted into a single-variable system by solving for $y$ at each time $t$. Doing so is computationally expensive, however, and we can estimate the system directly using the formulation above.

In order to set up the differential equation for the system we first observe that the derivatives on the left hand side correspond to

```
alg = [2 0];
```

We can then define a right hand side function

```
function r = DIFEfun(t,DEfd,p)
x = eval_fdcell(t,DEfd,0);
r = x;
r1 = p(1)*x{1}.^2 + p(2)*x{2};
r2 = 1/(exp(p(3) x x {1})+x{2});
end
```

and derivatives can be taken with respect to this function as normal.
Right hand side functions involving derivative terms of the form

$$
\ddot{x}(t)=a \dot{x}(t)^{2}+b x(t)
$$

currently need to be handled by expanding the system by defining a new variable $y(t)=\dot{x}(t)$ producing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{y}(t)=a y(t)^{2}+b x(t) \\
& \dot{x}(t)=y(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Delay parameters may currently be incorporated only in forcing variables. Consider the system:

$$
\dot{x}(t)=a x(t)+b f(t-d)
$$

the derivative of the right hand side with respect to $d$ is

$$
-b \frac{d f}{d t}(t-d)
$$

so that forcing variables must be differentiable. They need to be twice-differentiable in order to accommodate interval estimation.

The software does not currently support delay parameters occurring within variables of the system. It also does not support derivatives occurring in the right hand side, except when expanded as suggested above.

## 10 Forcing Functions and Diagnostics

There are a number of diagnostics that can be used to check the fit of the equations. Among these are the discrepancy between the smooth of the data and an exact solution to the differential equations. This will provide a general indication of regions in which the equations do not hold. A reasonable choice of exact solution would be to begin at the first observation point:

```
smooth = cell2mat(eval_fdcell(0:0.05:20,DEfd));
new_path = ode45(0:0.05:20,odefn,smooth(1,:),[],newpars);
for(i in 1:size(smooth,2))
    subplot(size(smooth,2),1,i)
    plot(0:0.05:20,smooth(:,i),'b')
    plot(0:0.05:20,new_path(:,i),'r')
    plot(Tcell{i},Ycell{i},'g.')
end
```

However, the discrepancy of the result will not generally provide a good indication of how the right hand side may be changed to make the fit better. This is best done by estimating external forcing functions that will make the differential equation fit the data. Adding

$$
\dot{x}-f(x, t, \theta)
$$

to the right hand side makes the differential equation exact for an estimated $x$. However, this diagnostic is likely to be biassed since $x$ is already smoothed to be close to an exact solution. This diagnostic also unavailable for systems with unobserved variables.

Rather, once an estimate of $\theta$ is arrived at, we need to estimate a forcing function that will create a smooth that will make $x$ fit both the (forced) differential equation and the data well. This forcing function can then be plotted against the fitted paths $x$, derivatives of those paths or external factors. Decomposition techniques such as Independent Variables Analysis or functional Principle Variables Analysis may also provide useful insights into how the differential equation should be modified.

Such a forcing function may be estimated by expressing it as a basis expansion and treating its coefficients as parameters to be estimated in the profiling scheme already shown. In doing this, the right hand side of the differential equation, including parameters, should remain fixed. This is because any change in the right hand side can be compensated for by changing the forcing functions accordingly.

### 10.1 Forcing Linear Systems

Where the original differential equations are linear, the profiling procedure can be solved as a linear system. The following function will do this:

```
[smooths,forces] = linforceest((basis_cell,pbasis_cell,A,...
    whichindex,lambda,lambdap,f_lfd,Tcell,Ycell,wts,force,...
    force_extra)
```

The inputs follow the usual conventions, with the following new entries:
basis_cell A cell array of basis objects for representing solutions to the forced differential equation.
pbasis_cell A cell arrray of basis objects for representing forcing functions.
A The matrix in the differential equation

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{A x}+\mathbf{f}
$$

whichindex A vector giving the indeces of $x$ which should be assumed to be forced. If this is not specified, it is assumed to be the first index up to the number of entries in pbasis_cell
lambdap A penalty parameter for a roughness penalty on the estimated forcing functions. Should normally be zero.
f_lfd The linear differential penalty for penalizing the forcing function.
force Already known forcing variables, given as a handle to a function that accepts a vector of times and possibly one further argument and returns the value of the forcing variable at the times specified.
force_extra An optional extra variable to be input into force.
The result of the function call are two cell arrays of functional data objects:
smooths represents the smooths to the data.
forces the estimated forcing variables.

### 10.2 Forcing General Differential Equations

Where the already estimated differential equation is non-linear, however, a Gauss-Newton scheme must be employed as before. For this situation, we regard the coefficients in the basis expansion as parameters and proceed with the usual profiled estimation scheme. In order to facilitate this, the following right-hand side functions have already been written

```
fn.fn = @forcingfun; % RHS function
fn.dfdx = @forcingdfdx; % Derivative wrt inputs (Jacobian)
fn.dfdp = @forcingdfdp; % Dervative wrt parameters
fn.d2fdx2 = @forcingd2fdx2; % Hessian wrt inputs
fn.d2fdxdp = @forcingd2fdxdp; % Hessian wrt inputs and parameters
fn.d2fdp2 = @forcingd2fdp2; % Hessian wrt parameters.
fn.d3fdx3 = @forcingd3fdx3; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs.
fn.d3fdx2dp = @forcingd3fdx2dp; % 3rd derivative wrt intputs and pars.
fn.d3fdxdp2 = @forcingd3fdxdp2; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs and pars.
% dimensions = time, variable, input,
% parameters
```

These require fn_extras to be specified. This should be a struct with the following fields:

```
moreinfo.fn = fn; % Original right hand side function
moreinfo.dfdx = dfdx; % Original RHS derivative wrt inputs
moreinfo.d2fdx2 = d2fdx2; % Original RHS Hession wrt inputs
moreinfo.d3fdx3 = d3fd2x; % Original third derivative
fh_extra.pars = pars; % Parameters to input to original system
moreinfo.extras = extras; % Original moreinfo input into fn
moreinfo.basisp = basisp; % Basis representation of forcing functions
moreinfo.which = which; % Which variables will be forced?
```

Forcing functions can then be estimated by the usual call to Profile_GausNewt.

```
[coefs,smooths] = Profile_GausNewt(pars,lsopts_out,DEfd,fn,...
    lambda,Ycell,Tcell,wts,alg,lsopts_in,moreinfo,...
    pen,dpen,pen_extras);
```

The forcing variables can then be recovered by

```
forces = Make_fdcell(coefs,basisp);
```

Note that it is here where the entries pen, dpen and pen_extras are often used. d2pen is also needed if you are estimating a Hessian matrix for the parameters. These can be supplied as

```
pen = @forcingpen;
dpen = @forcingdpen;
d2pen = @forcingd2pen;
pen_extras.basis = basisp;
pen_extras.deg = 2;
pen_extras.lambda = 0.01;
```

which provides a penalty on the squared integral of the pen_extras.deg derivative of the forcing function, with smoothing parameter pen_extras.lambda.

## 11 Predefined Right Hand Side Systems

### 11.1 Forced, Linear Systems

Where a differential equation model is not known, and we have sufficient data, it is possible to build a model to represent the data in much the same way that linear models are developed in ordinary least-squares regression. In the case of differential equations, a linear differential equation takes the place of the linear regression model and estimated forcing functions are used as diagnostics in place of residuals. In addition to the autonomous system, there may be known forcing variables and these may also be allowed to enter the model linearly. The linear system is then written as

$$
\dot{x}=A x+B u
$$

where $u$ are known inputs. The entries in the matrices $A$ and $B$ then need to be estimated, although some may be known.

Functions to estimate linear differential equations are provided by

```
fn.fn = @genlinfun; % RHS function
fn.dfdx = @genlindfdx; % Derivative wrt inputs (Jacobian)
fn.dfdp = @genlindfdp; % Dervative wrt parameters
fn.d2fdx2 = @genlind2fdx2; % Hessian wrt inputs
fn.d2fdxdp = @genlind2fdxdp; % Hessian wrt inputs and parameters
fn.d2fdp2 = @genlind2fdp2; % Hessian wrt parameters.
fn.d3fdx3 = @genlind3fdx3; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs.
fn.d3fdx2dp = @genlind3fdx2dp; % 3rd derivative wrt intputs and pars.
fn.d3fdxdp2 = @genlind3fdxdp2; % 3rd derivative wrt inputs and pars.
% dimensions = time, variable, input,
% parameters
```

These may be used directly. However, the fn_extras object may be used to alter the estimation scheme. It should be a matlab struct and may contain some of the following entries
fixed entries The following may be used when some of the entries in the matrix defining the differential equation are known and fixed.
mat A matrix representing a default matrix $A$. Parameters are estimated with respect to this; assumed zero if not present.
sub a two-dimensional array giving the indices of the entries in fn_extra.mat to be estimated. Assumed to be all of them.
forcing functions the following specify forcing functions which enter the differential equation linearly, but which may also depend on the parameters.
force should be a cell vector of functions accepting a vector of times $t$, parameters $p$ and extra input arguments, each should output a vector giving the value of the forcing function at times $t$. Alternatively, if any element is a functional data object, it is evaluated at times $t$.
force_mat a default matrix for $B$ - assumed to be zero if not specified. Parameters are estimated with respect to this matrix.
force_sub a two-dimensional array giving the entries in $B$ which correspond to parameters. This is assumed to give the diagonal of $B$ if not specified.
force_input extra input information to the forcing functions. May be specified in any manner.

### 11.2 Univariate polynomial functions

A final set of functions are provided that allow polynomial right hand side functions to be estimated for single-variable systems with forcing functions that enter linearly. These are given by

| fn.fn | $=$ @polyfun; | $\%$ RHS function |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fn. dfdx | $=$ @polydfdx; | $\%$ Derivative wrt inputs (Jacobian) |
| fn. dfdp | $=$ @polydfdp; | $\%$ Dervative wrt parameters |
| fn.d2fdx2 | $=$ @polyd2fdx2; | $\%$ Hessian wrt inputs |
| fn.d2fdxdp | @polyd2fdxdp; | $\%$ Hessian wrt inputs and parameters |
| fn.d2fdp2 | $=$ @polyd2fdp2; | $\%$ Hessian wrt parameters. |
| fn.d3fdx3 | $=$ @polyd3fdx3; | $\%$ 3rd derivative wrt inputs. |
| fn.d3fdx2dp $=$ @polyd3fdx2dp; | $\%$ 3rd derivative wrt intputs and pars. |  |
| fn.d3fdxdp2 $=$ @polyd3fdxdp2; | $\%$ 3rd derivative wrt inputs and pars. |  |
|  |  | $\%$ dimensions = time, variable, input, |
|  | $\%$ parameters |  |

The order of the polynomial is assumed to be the length of the parameter vector minus one, with the final parameter being a co-efficient of the forcing function. Forcing functions are specified in fn_extras.forcing. This should be a function which takes in a vector of times and additional object fn_extras.fs and outputs a vector of values. If fn_extras is not given, the system is assumed to be forced with a constant 1 .
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